

## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/266,790** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Alexander Kalinowski

Group Art Unit 2166

Chen et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person	nnel):
(1) Alexander Kalinowski	3)
(2) Terry Kramer	4)
Date of Interview Jun 7, 2001	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-16	
Identification of prior art discussed: none	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□	] was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  The Examiner contacted the Applicant's Representative whom indicated that no response was filed in reply to the	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	s which the examiner agreed would render the claims of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re	ecord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL W INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP sealready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Inc.	ction 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has HIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.